

# A General Purpose Technology Explains the Solow Paradox and Wage Inequality: Appendix

Bas Jacobs\* and Richard Nahuis†

## Abstract

This note contains the unpublished appendix of Bas Jacobs and Richard Nahuis (2002), “A General Purpose Technology Explains the Solow Paradox and Wage Inequality”, *Economics Letters*, 74, 243-250. The appendix contains derivations of i) the first-order conditions, ii) equilibrium of the model, iii) the conditions for stability, and iv) the derivation of the slopes of the phase lines.

## First-order conditions

Firms maximize the discounted value of profits flows  $\Pi_j \equiv \int_0^\infty \pi_j \exp[-rt] dt$ , subject to the demand function for their variety,  $X_j = \left(\frac{p_j}{p_X}\right)^{-\varepsilon} X$ , and the technology accumulation constraint given in equation (3) in the text. Instantaneous profits are given by:  $\pi_j \equiv p_j X_j - w_L L_j - w_H H_j - r K_j$ . Therefore, the current-value Hamiltonian of the optimal control problem for firm  $j$  reads as:

$$\mathcal{H}_j = p_j X_j - w_H H_j - w_L L_j - r K_j + q_j B(1 - u_j) H_j F_j$$

---

\*University of Amsterdam, Tinbergen Institute, NWO Priority Program ‘Scholar’, and CPB Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis. Corresponding author: Faculty of Economics and Econometrics, University of Amsterdam, Roetersstraat 11, 1018 WB, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Phone: (+31)-20-525 5088. Fax: (+31)-20-525 4310. Email: jacobs@fee.uva.nl.

†CPB Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis and KUN Nijmegen. E-mail: r.nahuis@cpb.nl.

Define  $\theta \equiv (1 - \alpha)\beta$  and  $\xi \equiv (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)$ . First-order conditions (FOC's) for an optimum are:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_j}{\partial L_j} = p_j \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon} \xi AK_j^\alpha F_j^{1-\alpha} (u_j H_j)^\theta L_j^{\xi-1} - w_L = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_j}{\partial H_j} = p_j \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon} \theta AK_j^\alpha F_j^{1-\alpha} (u_j H_j)^\theta H_j^{-1} L_j^\xi - w_H + q_j B(1 - u_j) F_j = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_j}{\partial u_j} = p_j \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon} \theta AK_j^\alpha F_j^{1-\alpha} (u_j H_j)^\theta u_j^{-1} L_j^\xi - q_j B H_j F_j = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_j}{\partial K_j} = p_j \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon} \alpha AK_j^{\alpha-1} F_j^{1-\alpha} (u_j H_j)^\theta L_j^\xi - r = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_j}{\partial F_j} = p_j \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon} (1 - \alpha) AK_j^\alpha F_j^{-\alpha} (u_j H_j)^\theta L_j^\xi + q_j B(1 - u_j) H_j = r q_j - \dot{q}_j$$

in addition to the transversality condition:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_j \exp\left(-\int_0^t r(v) dv\right) = 0$$

## Equilibrium

The second and third FOC's give the no-arbitrage condition for the allocation of time of high-skilled workers in the production of goods and learning in the text.

The differential equation for  $R \equiv F/K$  can be obtained using the economy's resource constraint - after imposing symmetric equilibrium:

$$\frac{\dot{R}}{R} = B(1 - u)H - spAR^{1-\alpha}(uH)^\theta L^\xi$$

The derivation of the differential equation describing  $u$  requires two additional steps. First, the no-arbitrage condition of high-skilled workers can be differentiated with respect to time to arrive at:

$$\alpha \frac{\dot{R}}{R} + (1 - \theta) \frac{\dot{u}}{u} = -\frac{\dot{q}}{q}$$

Second, we can substitute the first term in the last FOC out by rewriting the no-arbitrage condition:

$$(1 - \alpha)AR^{-\alpha}(uH)^\theta L^\xi = \frac{1 - \alpha}{\theta}qBuH$$

Using the last three results we obtain the differential equation for  $u$ :

$$\frac{\dot{u}}{u} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{\theta}BuH + \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \theta}BH + \frac{\alpha(1 - sp)}{\theta - 1}AR^{1-\alpha}(uH)^\theta L^\xi$$

Equilibrium follows by setting  $\frac{\dot{u}}{u} = 0$  and  $\frac{\dot{R}}{R} = 0$  and solving for  $u^*$  and  $R^*$ .

## Stability

The stability of the equilibrium can be checked by evaluating the determinant of Jacobian matrix  $J$  at the equilibrium  $E$ . The four partial derivatives of  $J$  at  $E$  are:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \dot{R}}{\partial R} \right|_E = -(1 - \alpha)B(1 - u^*)H < 0$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \dot{R}}{\partial u} \right|_E = -BHR^*(1 + \theta(1 - u^*)/u^*) < 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial \dot{u}}{\partial u} \right|_E &= 2 \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{\theta} \right) BHu^* + \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\theta} \right) BH - (1 + \theta) \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{\theta} BHu^* + \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\theta} BH \right) \\ &= \frac{1-\alpha}{\theta} BHu^* - \frac{\theta\phi}{u^*} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \dot{u}}{\partial R} \right|_E = -(1 - sp) \left( \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \theta} \right) \frac{r^* u^*}{R^*} < 0$$

where  $\phi \equiv ((1 - \alpha)/\theta)BH u^* + ((1 - \alpha)/(1 - \theta))BH$ . The equilibrium is saddle-point stable if  $\partial \dot{u}/\partial u > 0$ . Then, the determinant of the Jacobian is negative. This will be the case if:

$$\frac{1 - \alpha}{\theta} BH u^* - \frac{\theta\phi}{u^*} > 0$$

substitution of  $\phi$  gives:

$$u^* > \left( \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right)^2$$

## Slopes phase-lines

The slopes of the curves in figure 1 are derived by totally differentiating the  $\dot{R} = 0$  and  $\dot{u} = 0$  lines with respect to  $R$  and  $u$ . The  $\dot{R} = 0$  locus is downward sloping:

$$\left. \frac{du}{dR} \right|_{\dot{R}=0} = -\frac{u(1-\alpha)/R}{\theta + u/(1-u)} < 0$$

The  $\dot{u} = 0$  locus is upward sloping:

$$\left. \frac{du}{dR} \right|_{\dot{u}=0} = \frac{(1-\alpha)\phi/R}{(1-\alpha)BH/\theta - \theta\phi/u} > 0$$

The denominator is positive as a consequence of the stability condition, *i.e.* when  $u^* > (\theta/(1-\theta))^2$ .