Excerpt from

STRATEGIC NONVIOLENT DEFENSE IN THEORY:

DENMARK IN PRACTICE

by Gene Keyes

New York University Toronto, Ontario

October 1978

Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation

Reprinted with the permission of the author

Chapter 2

NONVIOLENT COMMON DEFENSE: THE BIOGRAPHY OF AN IDEA

We have recently dwelt at some length upon the irresistible power of passive resistance, when opposed to oppression, either from home or from abroad, by any population or people. great or small. We contemplated its capacity as a force. which any community or country might employ successfully in repelling and disarming desportion, whatever amount of bayonet nover it might have at its command. --Flibu Burritt, 1852

However small a nation or even a group may be it is able, even as the individual, provided it has one mind as also the will and the grit, to defend its honour and self-respect against a whole world in arms.... That is non-violent defence which neither knows nor accepts defeat at any stage. --Mohandas K. Gandhi, 1946

... civilian defence is still more of an idea than a proposal... studies of civil resistance are still at a relatively backward stage. It is, after all, less than ten years since serious work in this field began... --Adam Roberts, 1970

The idea we are considering has taken numerous names since the 1850s. mostly since the 1950s:

- 1) Passive resistance
 - 2) Nonregistance
- 3) Nonviolent resistance
- (A) Civil resistance
- 5) Unarmed defense
 - 6) Nonviolent defense
- 7) Nonmilitary defense
 - 8) Civilian defense
 - 9) Civilian resistance
- 10) Nonviolent civilian defense
- 11) Social defense
- 12) Civilian-based defense
- Societal defense
- 14) Post-military defense...etc.1

An appression I would like to try out is "common defense." "reinvijorated to saan an effort sounded by an entre polity with the nooricelent means at hand; with defense-of averyone, by exervines, for everyone. Common defense-withour nuclear wapons, firspower, or any other killing and violence. Common defense-withou nuclear vorkday resistances by an unconversable free people.

<u>Hilu Berrici</u> vora what may have been the artists advocacy of nonviolant defense as both, in Jul 153: a series of short related essays on "passive resistance." Like Leonato's haliopter, Barrit's soncept was alsed of its time, but it perfectly forgularized familis. " Nemparitie Namesili, in an August 1013 article, "War and Non-resistance", a proposal for concerted nonviolat resistance to an invasion (of England (by Germany). Despite this precisions: Marsell had unviaty used the obsolate, self-contradicpopertified anti-ware activities. "Self and the families forther, despite his

As for <u>Mohandas K</u>, <u>Canhi</u> himself: these fer reservic cannot do justice to his importance as the pioneer of strategic noviplence. But it was not until 1931 that even he began to notice the national defense implications of nonviolent resistance.⁶ He did, for example, acrement j recorrend a nonviolent defense policy to butcarland in 1931. Abysainia in 1935, Caechoslowskia in 1938, and strain in 1940, aveil as ot his own Compress Party, which rejected it as used to but the strain of the strain of the strain of the strain of the strain topics, the score to exilhary, competing for Gambat's pronouncements, and by no mans his highest priority.

Still, Ganhi's anthologies remain a basic source for deriving principles of nowicket action across a broad range of situations, including defense. The base collection in his two-wolume <u>Movices in Peace 4 Mar</u>, which fings clearly with his lationts' "movivelence of the strong" and its fundamental distinction from passive resistance. His concept of norviolence, as he wrote in 1966.

is summed up in "die for your honour and freedom" instead of "kill if necessary and be killed in the act." What does a brave soldster do? He kills only if necessary and risks his life in the act. Nonviolence demands greater courage and sacrifice.

In 1934 the first American edition of <u>Richard Greeg</u>'s classic <u>Hower of Novolence appears</u>. It was a general treatment that indicated monviolent resistance could be a "mubstitute for war," and developed a point-for-point linkage Storem allitary strategy and noviolent strategy. Developed and the strategy and noviolent strategy and oviolet by the strategy and noviolet be strategy and noviolent strategy. Developed and the strategy and noviolent strategy and noviolent strategy. Developed and the strategy and the strategy and noviolent strategy and be strategy and and the strategy and and the strategy and noviolent the strategy as an instrate wardth of warded-point fone the strategy and noviolentian. Another early speciem of nowviolent defense thicking was that of <u>sense</u> willing tughn of the War Beststern Langue (WL) in the US. She published a series of articles in March 1939 entitled "if We Should be Izvades: Facing a Fintatic Roychesis", 7 apaging the dition, <u>setting and reactions</u>, was reprinted factors that the sense of the setting and the setting and the setting period pixes; among other Chart-she dit in form any, Rughn's paphlet is a period pixes; among other Chart-she dit forcing invasion would be an operiod pixes; among other Distribution.

1939 also marked the publication of a significant volume by <u>Krishmain</u> <u>Smitharani</u>, <u>Writhout Violance: A Study of Cannhi's Merkend and Its Accomplishments</u>. He suggested that a synthesis of <u>Cannhi an Ellance with Western pacifie</u> media the pacifics dileman in the face of aggression and oppression. He also suggested that a mation's defense could be organized with nonviolent atrategy. but gave only a few pages of "conjectures" hout it. In 1940, Shrinharani put the question to Gandhi, and Ganhi's reply was published as an article in the succession lesser presents an maxing plan. Reading line, 6 minutes 5 seconds." This may have been one of the only such popular-media expositions of the moviolant defense idea, by Ganhi to aryone, in that period.

 \underline{h} , \underline{j} , \underline{h}_{MTE} was the presentance American pacifies theoretician and activits till has death in 1969, except for a period in the eacily 1305 when he led a tributer party. By 1336 he had resumed his pacifiet leadership, and the set of the

Another of the actiant defenders was <u>cosl Minhaw</u>, who in 1950 vrote and lectured on MacAmanta and Moral Program of Matrixan Jefense." An expanded provide the Matrixan Lecture 1957, Matrixan Lecture 1957,

Two other religiously-based pamphers were yet to appear, in 1958 and 1959 respectively: <u>Radford urtials National Defense Try Noviolent Resistance</u>, and Raiph Ball's <u>Alternative to War</u>. Both included realistic attempts to do some vorst-case thinking on the dangers moviolent defense must cope with. But by then the defender initiative was passing from the domain of religious pacifism into strategic ormanian.⁹ Unexting-Hall lamached his broadside in 1977, <u>Gene Sharp</u> vas an editor on the inclus harditar weaky mean hear Mean, and he joind King-Hall heidy is a research aid. Subsequently, harpy carried forward his own long-range studies at Oalo and thorico os stratagg and precedent for movilent resistance to cotalization regimes. In 1964 he convened a landmark research conference at Oxford on what was coming to be called "civilian defines." Among those presenting papers were B. H. Liddell Hart (UK), Major D. J. Goodgeed (Canada), Adam Roberts (UK), Theoort Evert (TRD), and George Lakey (USA).

<u>Adam Roberts</u>, who emerged as the next major defender after Sharp, edited some conference appares and others into a collection which was the first book completely addressed to the proposition of normiclest defense. (New King-Hall's books had been priority an assault on mollest deferrence theory. The sthology how trans edition was re-entitled <u>Cyclian Mestatome as a Sational Defense</u> how vision Adaming against <u>Agreenses how</u>.

The third major defender who came to the fore at Oxford along with Sharp and Roberts was <u>Theodor Ebert</u>. Since the early 1960s he has written numerous works on nonviolence and nonviolenc defense, mostly in German, and some in English. Since 1969 he has been editing a German quarterly on both those subjects. Gwaltfride Aktion.

Whereas Sharp, Roberts, and Ebert have been the most persistent, various other writers have also contributed to the current body of defender thinking, among them Andres Soserup and Andrew Mack, Johan Galtung, George Lakey, William Robert Miller, Arne Naess, Theodore Olson, and Mulford Q. Sibley. To cite a few of them:

<u>Iohan Gatuma</u> is the prolific and much-traveled Morwegian sociologist and preser transactions, who has also incurred videly-massel as to horway's definite presentation on this theme was newskat ormans, but did press a notable distinction fore peculiar to European thinking on civilian estimation the Angle-American version (e.g., Tharp, Moertz, myself) mambly, the difference between "washing address of presentation of particular which the dispertuing addresses.

George Lakey since the early 1900s has combined an activit as well as academic approach to nonviolent action. His 1962 N.A. thesis clarified the "sociological mechanism" thereof by suggesting three types of successful outcome: contino, conversion, to permanaton.¹¹ Hos was a co-sucher of the <u>Thice of Mark</u> defense only in passing, it provides a five-point model for morelower struggle which was useful in this study to describe the Dunish resistence organizations.

In August 1965, <u>Theodore Olson</u> helped conduct a pioneering nonviolent defanse "usr game" training exercise set in a Quaker summer camp on Grindstone faland, Ontario, and co-auchored a lengthy analysis of it entitled <u>Thirty-One</u> Mours.¹² The scenario he had writter involved civil var in Canada and US

In 1937 Sir Stephen King-Hall called for a Royal Commission study on the potentiality of a civilian nonvoicelat defense posture for Britain (with its alles if possible), and the abandonment of all its nuclear weapons as useless, along with most of its conventional forces. Keyes--5

intervention, Canadian authorities were swid to have been alarmed at the realism of its assemptions. Johan and the insigators of the experiment, as well as its critics, were appalled at the lack of discipline shown by the islanders signing the "inveder" during the to-welp exercise. So underdewighed has been the state repeated. Olson has done only one other paper on new blant distingtions, a short and little-invompletes, but influential in this rody.

One of the frustrations of the nonviolent defense field is that some very capable persons such as the preceding three have made significant contributions to its theory, but then have directed their energy elsewhere for one reason or another, leaving Gene Sharp to hold the fort, and keep on building it.13

Thus, <u>Gene Sharp</u> has been the deam of the defenders by virtue of releaties schlarship; the has written is possible is of articles, paperlies, papers, and books sensioned, in 1973. Its massive and long-avaired tractise, <u>The Politics of Nonviolent Action</u>, was finally published. This books is the "mother ship" of nonviolent Action, was finally published. This books is the "mother ship" of nonviolent Action, was finally published. This books is the "mother ship" of nonviolent Action, theory, and the mother loads of fourness thereto. It elaborates is experied as wholes of nonviolent action, as well as this stepping ships power, first put forth in 1550 by the young French philosopher Etiems de la horic (150-165). Sharp's theorem is that political power reast on obselence, that obselence is not instituble, and that the arrangic task of mutaind horivolant them possible. I've minimum and the the arrangic task of mutaind horivolant them possible. I've minimum and the the arrangic task of mutaind horivolant

Sharp is also the most assiduous lexicographer in the field. His definitions often lack elegance, but inform this study unless otherwise noted. One of his main distinctions is to restrict the term "nonviolence" to a moral norm, while making "nonviolent action" the most heavy-dury generic term of them all. The latter includes acts of commission, or both. It includes acts of protest, noncemeration, or intervention.

"Violence," to paraphrase Sharp, includes the <u>threat</u> or <u>act</u> of harming persons by any means of confinement, physical injury, or death. "Damage" or "destruction" is done to <u>property</u>, not people. "Violence" or "killing" is done to people, not property.

So far I have been tracing norriclent common defense as the biography of an idea, the formation of a body of thought and theory. At this writing its development has been slower than anticipated, expecially when the 1976s are could be the state of the state of the state of the state of the state output of the state of the state of the state of the state of the between 1967 and 1973, instantly had to be revived for a new set of emstgencies. Likewise might be the fate of the movialent defense idea. Though it is "output incidiental fashion," Adda Roberts noted in 1975, "Gn-riclent action grees no back door." Keves--6

The 1965 invasion of Czechoslovskis had provided a sudden laboratory case of civilian defense, and a sometary growth spurt in theory. The spontaneous given in the second second second second second second second Spring-and vincer-dis generates a space of books and articles, including titles by Sobers, Ebert, and others. The Czechoslovsk trauma also marked the beginning of fiftial given and the second government, entitle <u>Success to second</u> second second second <u>second second second second</u> second second second second <u>second</u> second second second second second second <u>second</u> second second second <u>second</u> second second <u>second</u> second second second <u>second</u> second second <u>second</u> second second <u>second</u> second

Other research conferences on nonviolant defame have been held in Europe; among the recent ones were those at Uppala in 1927, Brussels in 1976, and Oilo in 1978. After Brussels, an International Working Group on Social Defence was established, with a sortextrait in Berlin under Lat Nex, though its newslatter as yet is highly improvisational. Oustand Geeraerts edited the Brussels paper. Into the most recent book on the subject, <u>Destibilities of a</u> Subject <u>is in the source recent beok on the subject, <u>Destibilities of a</u> Subject <u>is in the source recent beok on the subject, <u>Destibilities of the subject</u>, <u>Destibilities</u>, <u>De</u></u></u>

Summing up, it can be said that the concept of nonviolent common defense has been extremely lake to develop in the twelve decades since Lihn Burritt first singled out the proposition. It has been unduly slow to develop in the part two decades since King-Bail Jroke At thought barrit angerarting military and the more in nonviolence. It has continued being all too slow to develop worm in the Book decade despite the coherence and identify the field had gained in he 1960s.

Neamwhile, King-Hall's clarion has received meglighble response, favorable or Otherwise, from aniarcerae artergists, who at least ought to be grappling with the ideas he raised, rather than the methodological dissents of a Rapport or a Green. With the 1973 debuc of deme Sharg's Wig Mertha, "and its long-term reverberations, we may hope that the basis now exists for developing and debating a studied altermity to the muclear maliarmancy of orthodor strategy." ¹In 1966, Sharp, Roberts, and Dhert had hoped that "civilian defames" would become the standard usage, but it was properly confused with book halters and the like. "Norrestizance"-as used by Bertrand Rossell in 1915--mhoid be crossed out immediately. It was a semantic blueder of intelecent-century parifies that was corrected by 1950. But it still clouds the mides of isicortain style of Memonite parifism.

²Samuel Huntington's use of this term in a book title was simply a literary allusion (to the US constitution); the subject of the book is in the subjitle.

³Burritt was a multi-lingual, self-educated blacksmith, abolitionist, and publicist for humanitarian causes, as well as a staunch pacifist who, unlike some colleagues, did not make an excerption for the Civil War.

⁴Note that we are discussing the idea of <u>nonviolent defense</u>, not the such broader fields of nonviolent action and pacifism.

²Candhi in turn may have noted the success of the finan, who from 1898 to 1905 had waged in internationally supported campaign of passive restainance against Carits encouchement. Bo More Iodian subcrity raights in South Africa, at fitten annoted in September 1906 for Iodian subcrity raights in South Africa, at fitten is contemporary commutation it had no hackbone of principled norviolence. "Passive resistence" leavedner use often used out dewaltens, and as a salking hores for violence. He outine word "maryagnah" (truth-force, or noul-force) viole his fitter amplites on norviolence, Hidd Maryan he was in 1906 when he viole his fitter amplites on norviolence, Hidd Maryan he was in 1906 when he

⁶I have compiled a chronological annotated listing of forty-eight of Gandhi's references to nonviolent defense.

⁷ That satilar tills is indicative of the senor of some-not all--moviolent defense writing, even to the present: "Not the U(M/America) voil ever really be invaded anyway..." Baing a prudent pessinist (and America), I diawow that frame of andi. Admitted), occupring the USA would be quite a logistic North on a Soviet conquest and occupation of America: Not This August by C. M. Sornhubt (New York: Batten, 1956) eight (35) and satilities in Maclant's Megazine, Canada, 1953-05, -05); and Yundahbarg by Olver Lange (New York: Basten, 197); out of course, there are many with mations facing summary of the sate best-sellers by Brig. Gen. Richard Bolmer have Canada strypting a future US Invasion: Uflexing (Cornoto: Carke Irvin, 1973; Robelt Books, 1974); and <u>Desomeration</u> (Foronto: Origin and Bewart, 1974; Pocket Books, 1974); and <u>Africs of Restance (Mordon Wer Thinh birger, 1974; Johelt Books</u>, 1976); Africs of Restance (Mordon Wer Thinh birger, 1974; Johelt Books, 1974); Stoughton, 1971). These books are all entertaining, but none is as plausible as some of the nuclear holocaust fiction: On the Beach; Red Alert; Alas, Babylon; Fail Safe; A Canticle for Liebowit; etc.

⁹Naither precludes the other, but the change of emphasis is palpable; compare for instance the Quakers' 1955 and 1967 works as mantioned. Ralph Bell's 1959 pampliet, meanwhile, was a largely unnoticed effort mot he pacifiets side to propose an interventionist, see-military kind of "Active non-violent Resistance" in world affairs (by Pitlain, as it were).

¹⁰My 1971 senior thesis explored the proposition that unaread military forces could perform a vide area of missions, including defame to the dath. if arguined to do no by a policy with wrifiniant artitlal fibre and moral nerve. Wy incontion was to predicate a military complement to the energing doctrime of "civilian remissance," which by its very mane tends to overlook any notion of how nonviolant defemse might be co-organized by professional soliars. I hold that if nonviolant common defense ever comes to pass, it should, and will, have a much larger military component than some of its thoration previses.

¹¹Gene Sharp revised the set to read conversion, accommodation, or nonviolent coercion.

¹²Long out of print. Olson has recently prepared a revised and extended version, unpublished as yet.

¹³I, too, though intermittently exploring the field since 1962, have also undertaken an ongoing project of world map design, as a kind of geopolitical adjunct to nonviolent defense studies.

¹⁴sear in mind that this book is more breadly conceived than just nonvicent defines in particular-the subject of a forthcoming volume by Sharp-but is about nonvicient action in general. It had been my judgment that Roberts, and Ebert, have a newsharb better sense of the <u>stretspy</u> for novicient defense, while Sharp's forts is <u>static</u>, plus concepts and dynamics. On the other hand, Searop and Mack claimed in their 17% hook that the sorvicient defense literature tactics. They set about remedying this presumed theorestic deficiency, with results to be further discussed [in another part of the their-med.].

¹⁵In some respects I had initially taken this to be a porboiler among works on nonviolent defense. But its tour de force of abducting Clausewitzian strategic theory for nonviolent defense proved more than suggestive by the time ay own study was completed, as will be seen.

¹⁶ such an inversion I reject. But that is to be expected; any school of thought whatsover has exponents who are an embarrasment to each other. It is worthwhile to speculate about nonvicient revolution, and I have does of an unpublished paper, as has George Lakey in his recent book. But we do not elevate such musings into the antithesis of nonviolent common defense.

SELECTED ANNOTATED REFERENCES

Sharp, Gene. <u>Exploring Nonviolent Alternatives</u>. Boston: Porter Sargent, 1970 (128 pp.)

. The Politics of Nonviolent Action. Boston: Porter Sargent, 1973 (902 pp.) Paperback ed., 1974, 3 vols., same paginarion. [This is the big one. Two earlier duplicated versions were circulated in 1966 and 1968.]

Roberts, Adam. Ed., <u>Civilian Resistance as a National Defense</u>, Non-Violent <u>Action Against Aggression</u>. Harrisburg, Fa.: Stackhole, 1968. (320 pp.) [Reprinted 1969-04 with Czechosłowakia up-date by Roberts, pp. 7-17. Harmondworth: Penguin, 1969-04. (366 pp.) Origi. Kt ed. enticide <u>The Strategy of Civilian Defence</u>. Includes Ebert, "Nonviolent Resistance against Communits Regiment"]

. "Czechoslovakia: Invasion and Resistance" in <u>Czechoslovakia 1968:</u> <u>Reform, Repression and Resistance</u>, by Philip Windsor and Adam Roberts. New York: Columbia University Press, for The Institute for Strategic Studias, London, 1969. (pp. 97-143)

 Total Defence and Civil Resistance: Problems of Sweden's Security Policy. Stockholm: Forswarets Forskningsanstalt, The Research Institute of Swedish Mational Defence, English ed., mimco, 1972-07. (227 pp.) Swedish ed., Totalforswar och Civilmorstand, 1972-03. Includes "Cachololovakia: A Battle Mon-a War Lott."

- The Technique of Civil Resistance. Stockholm: Research Institute of Swedish National Defanse, simeo, 1976-03. (164 pp.) Swedish ed., Civilmotrandets Teknik, 1976-02.
- Ebert, Theodor. "Civilian Resistance in Czechoslovakia: Implications of the August Campaign." The World Today, 1969-02. (pp. 52-59)
- Burritt, Elhu. "Fastve Resizance" in Burritt, Throughts and Things at loogs and Acroad. Notion: Fallps, Samon, 1854. (p. 59-56). Bepreinted in <u>Souriolence in America: A Documentary History</u>. Staughton Lynd, ed. Indiampolis: Bobbe-Merrill, 1966. (pp. 37-108). [Splendid nineteenth-sentury phraselogy on indominatable passive resistance for mass definance of oppression from within or without. See also these

"These references are listed by Keyes in topical rather than alphabetical order.

items in original not in Lynd edition: "An Extreme Case," pp. 286-89; "The Policeman and the Soldier," pp. 290-94; "Reciprocal Faith," pp. 294-98; and "The Danger of Foreign Invasion," pp. 288-302.]

- Gendhi, Nohandaw K., <u>Hind Swari, or Indian Rome Rule</u>. Ahmedabed: Wavajivan, new ed., 1995 crist. 1999-11 (110 pp.) [Gandhi's initial exposition of strategic norviolence, for the Indian context. See esp. chap. 17, "Passive Resistance," pp. 77-56, where Gandhi is still using chis term interchangeably with "soul force," but 6f. chap. 13 of <u>Satyagraha in</u> South Africa, "Satyagrahave s. Rassive Resistance," pp. 103-08.]
- Russell, Bertrand. "War and Non-Resistance." <u>Atlantic Monthly</u>, 1915-08. (pp. 266-74) Reprinted in Russell, <u>Justice in War Time</u>. Chicago and London: Open Court, 1916. (pp. 38-57)
- Gandhi, Mohandas K. <u>Satyagraha in South Africa</u>, Valji Govindji Desai, tranas. Ahmedabadi Navajiyan. 1930. (317 pp.) Orig: ni Gojarati, 1924-04; firaz English ed., 1928. [See note under <u>Hind Swaraj, or Indian Home Rule.</u>]
- Gregs, Richard. The <u>Forwer of Non-Violence</u>, ist ed. Philadelphia: Lippinott, 1934. (35 pp.) [Entile partial versions: <u>The Pyrohelogy and Strategy of Gandhi's Non-Violant Assistance</u>. Madras: Ganesan, 1979. (177 pp.) Reprint, N.T. Gariand, 1972. Also: <u>Gandhi's strategy</u> of <u>Non-Violant Assistance</u>. Madras: Ganesan, 1970. <u>The Fourt of Non-1399 and Was severely a bridged</u>.
- Allen, Devere. The Fight for Peace. New York: Macmillan, 1930. (740 pp.) [See ap. "The Myth of Non-Resistance," pp. 531-40; and these other subsections: "Now Nonviolence Works," pp. 620-24; and "Cases of Nonviolent Resistance," pp. 631-35.]
- Gregg, Richard. Training for Peace: A Program for Peace Workers. A supplement to The Power of Non-Violence. Philadelphia: Lippincott, 1937. (40 pp.) [Partially incorporated in later editions of the book itself.]
 - . The Power of Non-Violence, 3rd ed. Nyack, N.Y.: Fellowship, 1959. (192 pp.) Reprinted. New York: Schocken, 1966. Though updated, this edition, like the second in 1944, was severely abridged by the omission of eight chapters from the 1934 original.
- Hughan, Jessie Wallacs. If We Should Be invaded: Facing a Fantastic Hypothesis. New York: War Resisters League, 1939; 3rd ed., Pacifiem and Invasion. New York: War Resisters League, 1941-07; reprinted 1942-02. (27 pp.)
- Gregg, Richard. Pacifist Program in Time of War, Threatened War, or Fascism. Wallingford, Ps.: Pendle Hill Pamphlet #5, 1939-04. (61 pp.)

- Shridharani, Krishnalal. <u>War Without Violence: A Study of Gandhi's Method</u> and <u>Its Accompliaments</u>. Ist ed. New York: Harcourt, 1939. (151 pp.) lst ed. also reprinted by Garland, 1972. 2nd ed. Bombay: Bharatiya Yidya Bhavan, 1952. (299 pp.)
- Gandhi, M. K. "Can India Be Defended? New weapons against the invader! A famous leader presents an amazing plan. Reading time, 6 minutes, 5 seconds." Liberty, 1940-08-17. (pp. 25-26)
- Gregg, Richard. <u>A Discipline for Nonviolence.</u> Wallingford, Pa.: Pendle Hill Pamphlet #11, n.d. [1941-08]. (31 pp.); also Ahmedabad: Navajivan, 1941-08, (36 pp.)
- Gandhi, Mohandas K. <u>Nonviolence in Peace & War</u>, Vol. I, 1962 ed. Mahadev Desai, ed. Ahmedabad: Navajivan, 1962. (519 pp.). Orig. 1942. [Note: pagination differs from earlier eds.]

 <u>Nohviolence in Peace 6 War</u>, Vol. II, 1960 ed. Bharatan Kumarappa, ed. Ahmedabad: Navajivan, 1960. (393 pp.). Orig. 1949. [<u>Note:</u> pagination differs from earlier eds.]

- Muste, A. J. How to Deal with a Dictator. New York: Fellowship, 1954-02. (32 pp.)
- American Friends Service Committee. <u>Speak Truth to Power: A Quaker Search</u> for an Alternative to Violence. Philadelphia: AFSC, 1955-03. (71 pp.)

"Is Duers Another Usy", a debate on <u>Speak Turth to Power</u>, with articles by Robert Pickas, Duéght Konsonal, Sorman Turnas, Rainbal Michahr. Stephen Cary. The Progressive, 13/72, 1395-10. (pp. 5-24) [Digs: Reprinted edition has alightly argumeded, inproved version of Fickus and Cary's "Emply to the Critics," redeoling its emphasis rubles govid supresent [pp. 2-27]. Tredistance against rubles govid supresent [pp. 2-27].

- Hinshaw, Cecil. Nonviolent Resistance: A Nation's Way to Peace. Wallingford, Pa.: Pendle Hill Pamphlet #88, 1956-03. (48 pp.)
- Lyttle, Bradford. National Defense Thru Nonviolent Resistance. Chicago: Shahn-ti Sena, 1958-08. (69 pp.)

Bell, Ralph. Alternative to War. London: James Clarke, 1959. (83 pp.)

American Friends Service Committee. <u>A Quaker Inquiry into Nonviolent National</u> <u>Defonge</u>. Philadelphia: AFSC, duplicated traft, 1956-05-25. (76 pp.) [Sook version balow. In some ways the draft version was better, especially its longer "scenario."]

. In Place of War: An Inquiry into Nonviolent National Defense. New York: Grossman, 1967. (115 pp.) [The published version had some improvements over the draft version, and some dis-improvements as well.]

- Bell, Ralph. Rhodesis: Outline of a Nonviolent Strategy to Resolve the the <u>Orisis</u>, Ind ed. London: Rousmans, 1964-05. (33 pp.) ist ed., London: Institute for Training in Nonviolence, n.d., (1966-05) (8 pp.) Condensed version, "A Nonviolent Strategy for Rhodesia." Fellowship, 1967-03. (pp. 13-14)
- Mayer, Milton. <u>The Art of the Impossible: A Study of Czech Resistance</u>. Santa Barbara, Calif.: Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions, Occasional Paper, 1969-04. (47 pp.)
- Kayes, Gane. "Force Without Firepower: A Survey of Ideas for a Doctrine of Unarmed Military Service." Unpublished senior thesis, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, 197-06-10. (337 pp.) [Condensed version presenced at American Political Science Association, Annual Meeting, Chicago. 1974-09-11 (28 pp.) 12. (2019).
- Boserup, Anders; and Yack, Andrew. <u>Var Vithout Peapons: Non-Violence in National Defence</u>. London: Finter, 1974. New York: Schockan, 1977. (194 pp.). Ort<u>a</u>. <u>Ekkevold som National Porsvar</u>. Copenhagen: Spectrums Aktuelle, 1971.
- "Conference on Nonmilitary Forms of Struggle, Uppsals, August 25-30, 1972." International Peace Research Newsletter, 10/6, 1972-11. (pp. 12-14) [Stiff summary of the proceedings.]
- Geraerts, Gustaaf, ed. <u>Possibilities of Civilian Defence in Vestern Europe</u>. Amsterdam: Swets & Zeitlinger, 1977. (172 pp.) Includes Deet, "Disarmament as a Non-Yiolant Initiative: How People Learn to Vant Civilian Defence, or, the Long March Towards a Political Culture of Preparedness to Fasistence," pp. 66-76.
- Ja Bofeta, Etismo de. <u>The Discourse of Voluntery Serviced</u> (TLe Discours de La Serviced Folontaire). <u>Nerry Karts (remas, Nerry N. Rothbard</u>, incro., re-titled <u>The Policies of Obsilence</u>. New York Free Life, 1975; obsilence as the wellpering of political power, and vithheld obsilence as the beginning of the and for a syrant. "Ready not to obey, and you by Shart in decame of works and monotoria of long theory, as dilated by Shart in decame of works and monotoria for theory, as dilated
- Hungtingon, Samuel P. <u>The Common Defense: Strategic Programs in National</u> <u>Politics.</u> New York: Columbia University Press, 1961. (500 pp.)
- Lakey, George. <u>The Sociological Mechanisms of Nonviolent Action</u>. Peace Research Reviews, 2/6, 1968-12. (98 pp.) Orig. M.A. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 1962.

References--5

- Tolis, Peter. Elihu Burritt: Crusader for Brotherhood. Hamden, Conn.: Archon, 1968. (309 pp.)
- Roberts, Adam. "Research on a Possible Alternative to Military Defence." <u>Bulletin of Peace Proposals</u>, 1/3, 1970. (pp. 286-88) [Succinct and sensible preview of the field.]
- Olson, Theodore; and Christiansen, Gordon. <u>Thirty-One Hours: The Grindstone Experiment</u>. Toronto: Canadian Friends Service Committee, 1966. (108 pp. 11)us.)
- "The Invasion That Was" and "Grindstone Reviewed: 3 Rejoinders." Fellowship, 37/5, and 37/7, 1966-05, -07. (pp. 13-15 and 23-25) (Symposium on the Grindstone Island exercise, with comments and criticism by Gene Sharp, Charles C. Walker, Roy Kepler, Charles Bloomstein, Albert Bigelowe, John Larson, and Theodore Olson.]
- Galtung, Johan. <u>Peace, War and Defence: Essays in Peace Research</u>, Vol. 2. Copenhagen: Christian Eijlers, 1976. (472 pp.) [Five-or six--volumes projected. Staggering catholicity, to say the least. Includes "Two Concepts of Defence" and "On the Strategy of Nommilitary Defence."]